

NEW ORLEANS EDITION

be Confederate Museum its building's new owner: of Southern Art_

8, 2001



ing up beside it on Camp Street. The former Howard Confederate Museum. Originally, the Ogden Museum but it ended up buying the entire building.

By Doug MacCash Staff writer

At one time, the building at 929 Camp St., a short block from Lee Circle, was almost a sacred site for many New Orleanians. In 1893, a reported 60,000 mourners filed past the casket of former Confederate President Jefferson Davis.

But*in recent years, the Confederate Museum has had trouble drawing 15,000 visitors a year

Judge finds herself on trial

Tulane case prompts conflict-of-interest call

By Susan Finch Staff writer

One federal magistrate was an adjunct professor at Tulane Law School. Another magistrate's wife was a doctor at Tu-

lane Medical Center.

And the district judge a few years earlier had taught at Tulane Law School and sat

on the board of the Amistad Research

Center, which le is housed on in the university's Uptown Cr campus.

So when former Tulane Medical School biochemistry professor Carl Bernofsky filed a lawsuit in mid-1998 accusing the university of maligning him to prospective employers after it fired him three years earlier, should any of them have stepped aside?

Citing potential conflicts, or at least the appearance of them, Magistrates Joseph Wilkinson and Lance Africk recused themselves.

U.S. District Judge Ginger Berrigan did not.

See JUDGE, A-10



U.S. District Judge Ginger Berrigan

'It was a pure legal issue, not involving factual findings or credibility calls'

CONTINUED

Professor didn't receive salary

JUDGE, from A-1

IS. BERTEAUX near her ichool. Ise's

ng ts

years ago y a bullet room, sat an ambuok her to

hicle fled ed, police t, Ronnie cheblave, 1 the 1300 nue, said Paul Ac-19, 2676 nd hiding 500 block treet. An erted pouspect, a relative's t of Paintfrom the

ts. were

day, the students in assemtrancisco, i "former ard recip-

udents to ; goes on boys and ig to be a ew people ant to try !," Smith Although a divided federal and appeals court recently backed ch Berrigan's decision, Bernofsky tw plans to pursue the matter. The case spotlights the ethical calls so federal judges must make on how whether their professional, personal or financial ties warrant stepping aside in a case to avoid and

pearance of impropriety. In keeping the case, Berrigan said her Tulane teaching stint was as a temporary, unpaid volunteer substituting for a fellow judge, and her association with Amistad, which is legally separate from Tulane, ended in 1994.

conflicts of interest or the ap-

Before ruling last spring on Tulane's motion to throw out the Bernofsky case, however, Berrigan was picked to teach a threeweek course in Greece for Tulane Law School's Summer School Abroad 2000, a job that carried a \$5,500 stipend. Citing this development, Bernofsky again asked Berrigan to disqualify herself.

She declined, and two weeks later Berrigan threw out all the professor's claims against the university, just as she had done with an earlier suit in which he charged his firing was the result of anti-Semitic discrimination by his department chairman.

A judge's dissent

Tulane, which had succeeded in getting Bernofsky's other cases dismissed, accused the former professor of "judge shopping."

But last month, a week after hearing arguments on Bernofsky's appeal, a panel of the 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals upheld Berrigan's dismissal of his case.

On whether Berrigan should have recused herself, the panel divided 2-1. In a sharp dissent, 5th Circuit Chief Judge Carolyn Dineen King said a reasonable person would have viewed the summer teaching assignment and pay that went with it "as something of a plum."

"She accepted the assignment in the midst of this litigation against the Administrators of the Tulane Educational Fund, indeed on the eve of her decision to grant summary judgment in favor of the Fund," King's dissent said.

Under the circumstances, and with no evidence of any change in the relationship between the fund and the law school, "I think that a reasonable person might question her impartiality," King said, adding that she would have reversed Berrigan's judgment and sent the case back to the district court for assignment to another judge.

In light of King's dissent, Bernofsky's attorney has asked the full appeals court to reconsider its April 10 ruling.

Lawyer Victor Farrugia said the standard is whether a person on the street would think the poses a potential conflict. "People on the street, they're appalled the judge decided the case and took the money to go to Greece at the same time," he said.

Lawyer Dane Ciolino, who teachers legal and judicial ethics at Loyola Law School, called the case "a close call."

"I could see that to a lay person, Tulane is Tulane is Tulane," Ciolino said. "But to a reasonably educated person, you can realize Tulane Law School is distinct from parts of Tulane involved in this suit.

"There is nothing about being an adjunct professor that would render her biased toward the larger university," he said, adding that in most cases such jobs are for no pay. "But I could see how a lay person might be concerned."

Federal rules give guidance

Judges routinely struggle with such issues. Last year, for example, U.S. District Judge Morey Sear stepped aside in an Internet gambling case because he owned stock in one of the defendants, a bank that issues credit cards and accepted charges from offshore "virtual" gambling parlors.

Also last year, the 5th Circuit said U.S. District Judge Carl Barbier abused his discretion in refusing to disqualify himself from a suit filed by the Republic of Panama against the tobacco industry.

The appeals court said "a reasonable person might harbor doubts" about Barbier's impartiality because as president of the Louisiana Trial Lawyers Association, before he was appointed to the bench, his name was included on a motion to file a friend-of-the-court brief on behalf of the Panamanian plaintiffs in a suit against the tobacco companies.

Federal rules governing judges offer some guidance. In 1975, a new rule took effect requiring recusal in any proceeding in which a judge's impartiality "might reasonably be questioned."

Thirteen years later, in a Louisiana case, the U.S. Supreme Court elaborated and said even the appearance of a conflict of interest is grounds for a judge to be disqualified.

In that decision, the high court said New Orleans District Judge Robert Collins should not have presided over a court battle between a Kenner pharmacist and a company that wanted to build a hospital in Kenner on land owned by Loyola University. Collins sat on the university's board but claimed he had not remembered the board was involved in negotiations over the land. Even after the relationship was brought to his attention, he did not step aside, the court noted in ruling against him.

Federal law requires judges to disqualify themselves when they have a financial interest in the subject matter of a case. Financial interest is defined as "ownership of a legal or equitable interest, however small, or a relationship as director, adviser or other active participant in the affairs of a party."

Even then, reasonable people can differ over how to apply such definitions.

Case study

In the Tulane case, for example, Berrigan in written rulings said she had no financial interest in the case or in Tulane because the \$5,500 summer school stipend was repayment for cost and expenses, not a salary.

She also noted that although the Code of Conduct for United States Judges requires judges who teach at a law school to recuse themselves from all cases involving that institution as a party, the rule doesn't distinguish between paid and unpaid

teaching positions.

Berrigan also said that Bernofsky's suit was similar to an earlier case he filed, which she also threw out on summary judgment.

"Knowledge of the history of the previous litigation was essential to evaluating the merits of the current litigation, a knowledge this court already had but which would require a new judge to independently amass," Berrigan wrote. "This frankly was a factor in this court's decision to 'keep' the case at this juncture rather than recuse."

At the appeals court hearing, Judge Thomas Reavley asked whether U.S. Supreme Court justices who have taught at Tulane's summer abroad program would have to be recused if Bernofsky sought review of his case in their court. Justice Antonin Scalia, for example, has taken part in Tulane's program three times and is scheduled to go to Greece this summer in the spot Berrigan held last year, Farrugia said.

"I think if the case ever got to the Supreme Court, and it was Justice Scalia, who has gone four times on Tulane's nickel, and a case came up with Tulane, I think Justice Scalia probably should recuse himself, yes," he said.

In the end, though, the 5th Circuit ruled with unusual speed in Berrigan's favor, though not along any obvious ideological lines. Reavley, elevated to the bench by President Carter, and Judge Edith H. Jones, appointed by President Reagan, backed Berrigan, a Clinton appointee. King was named to the bench by President Carter.

Berrigan, in her rulings and written explanations to the appeals court, signaled the call was a close one. She also told the 5th Circuit that under case law, she had an obligation not to recuse herself but welcomed guidance from a higher court.

"It was a pure legal issue, not involving factual findings or credibility calls," Berrigan said. "And the appellate court looks at it afresh."

......

Susan Finch can be reached at sfinch@timespicayune or (504) 826-3340.

.

1

-